


Rather than repeat the arguments given in previous papers, we will pick just one piece of evidence which shows that the entire “chain reaction” theory, on which the nuclear threat is based, is completely bogus.

The following chart explains what is really happening when a supposed *chain reaction* occurs. The scientists would have us believe that the process continues indefinitely, turning a lump of radioactive material into a staggering quantity of pure energy or, as they like to call it, a nuclear explosion. This is nonsense. Each step in the reaction merely produces a different isotope and heat. That’s it. If left to run, the heat will continue to build up until the radioactive material melts – as it did at Chernobyl in 1986 and Fukushima in 2011.

### Nuclear Chain Reaction



A chain reaction is a reaction in which the material that starts the reaction is also one of the products and can start another reaction.

A critical mass is the minimum amount of nuclides that provide the number of neutrons needed to maintain a chain reaction

**A nuclear chain reaction is pure fantasy. Each neutron ejected at high speed simply knocks a neutron out of a nearby nucleus, producing an isotope and heat. This is what happens in a nuclear power station. A "runaway" or uncontrolled chain reaction would simply melt the uranium metal, as happened at Fukushima, Japan in March, 2011.**

In an earlier paper – *How to Distinguish Biblical Reality from Satan’s Alternative Reality*, #96) – we stated:

The world was told that such a device was detonated successfully at a remote test site in New Mexico and later deployed on two occasions in Japan. However there is no credible evidence to support this. Hiroshima and Nagasaki were apparently firebombed, just like seventy other Japanese cities. Neither city was polluted by nuclear radiation and neither city suffered damage consistent with a nuclear blast. The Allies spun the atomic bomb story in order to lay the foundation for a prolonged Cold War between the USA and the USSR.

Without the threat of mutual annihilation, there would have been no Cold War. Moreover, the United Nations – the prototype for world government – would never have been formed without the threat of an all-out nuclear war and the continental devastation that it would cause.

There were other reasons for the atomic bomb hoax. It ensured that the highly profitable arms industry would continue to prosper and enrich the scheming cabal of families that controlled the military-industrial complex. The influence exercised by this cabal would swell enormously during the Cold War and allow them to steer the United Nations toward world government.

### **The phony end-of-the-world formula**

The formula that allegedly expresses the relationship between matter and pure energy is  $E=mc^2$ , where  $E$  means ‘pure energy’,  $m$  means ‘mass’ and ‘ $c$ ’ symbolizes the speed of light (which we are told is 186,000 miles per second).

This formula is world famous. It is quoted extensively in popular culture and its author, Albert Einstein, is fêted universally as one of the greatest scientists who ever lived. We did a check on ChatGPT (the online AI utility) to see how often the formula was used in the TV cartoon series, *The Simpsons*. Here is an extract from its reply:

The equation " $E=mc^2$ " is referenced in the television cartoon series "The Simpsons" on several occasions throughout its long run... While it's difficult to provide an exact frequency of its appearance, " $E=mc^2$ " has been referenced in various contexts within the show, particularly in episodes involving science, technology, or education-related themes. These references may range from scientific discussions to comedic situations, making it a recurring motif throughout the series.

Just think about this for a moment. The scientific equation that expresses the staggeringly complex process whereby matter is transformed into pure energy is so simple that it can be cited over and over on a children's cartoon show!



**The show frequently refers to the dangers posed by a nuclear reactor.**

**It even suggests that a disaster is inevitable because the Springfield reactor is sometimes manned by people like Homer Simpson.**

How is this possible? After all, most scientific formulae relating to chemical, mechanical and engineering processes, even the simple ones, are much more complicated than that. The fact that they are never cited in popular culture is testimony to this. Economists often complain that the best way to cut the readership of a serious paper by 90 percent is to include just one equation!

### **An advertising slogan**

In our opinion, the famous equation  $E=mc^2$  is nothing but propaganda, an advertising slogan for public consumption. It's as bogus as a bagel without a hole. If Einstein deserves to be ranked among the greatest of all time, it's in the category of shameless conmen.

The giveaway here is the speed of light. To appreciate the argument that we are about to make it is important to note that the unit of measurement known as the meter is an arbitrary construct. *Encyclopedia Britannica* explains how it originated:

"The metre was historically defined by the French Academy of Sciences in 1791 as  $1/10,000,000$  of the quadrant of the Earth's circumference running from the North Pole through Paris to the equator."

– *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 2016

In short, if the distance between the North Pole and the Equator is divided into 10 million equal segments, each segment will be exactly one meter in length.

We will continue our story with an edited extract from our earlier paper, #96, where we discussed the speed of light.

When the speed of light was measured experimentally it was found to be exactly 300 million meters per second! (Note that the meter itself had been defined several decades before this experiment was conducted.) Now ask yourself, what are the chances that the speed of light should turn out to be exactly 300 million times an arbitrarily defined unit of length? And why should that the unit of length be directly related to the path of the sun over the Equator? Or why should the distance that light can travel in one second be exactly 30 times the distance between the North Pole and the Equator? [According to Google Earth, the actual distance between the North Pole the Equator is exactly 10 million meters (plus or minus 100 metres).]

Scientists in America, who used the imperial rather than the metric system of measurement, were familiar with the speed of light in miles only, namely 186,000 miles per second. As more of them noticed that the speed of light under the metric system was a suspiciously round number, they probably began to ask some awkward questions.